

**Draft**

**Planning and Zoning Board**

**Minutes**

**Thursday, August 20, 2015**

**5:30 p.m.**

The Blowing Rock Planning and Zoning Board met on Thursday, August 20, 2015 for their regularly scheduled meeting. Chairman Harwood called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm. Members present were David Laughter, Lisa Stripling, Kim Hartley, Wes Carter, Genie Starnes, Joe Papa and Jim Steele. Member Natalie Bovino was absent. Staff members present were Town Manager Scott Fogleman, Planning Director Kevin Rothrock and Administrative Assistant Tammy Bentley.

Mr. Fogleman asked to speak to the Board. He told the Board that the Town is looking at a process to make sure the meetings minutes drafts are online within one week of the meeting. He added that the Town Council would like to have the Planning Board Chair, or another Board member, present at the Town Council meetings to give a summary of the Planning Board discussion. Mr. Fogleman thanked the Planning Board for their hard work.

Chairman Harwood asked if there were any changes to the July 16, 2015 meeting minutes. There were none. *Ms. Starnes made a motion to approve the minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Laughter. All members were in favor of the motion.*

**Discussion – Removing Single-family and Duplexes from Design Standard Regulation**

Mr. Rothrock gave the staff report. In 1997 the Town adopted commercial design standards which applied to most non-single-family structures. In 2005 the Town amended this ordinance to include single-family structures in the Central Business district, and subsequently the Town Center district. These structures were subject to color and materials criteria and reviewed through a zoning permit process.

This summer, the NC General Assembly adopted Senate Bill 25 which places limits on how local governments can regulate building design elements including color and materials for single-family, townhouse and duplex structures. To be consistent with recently approved Session Law 2015-86 and the NC General Statute, staff recommends that Article 21 of the Land Use Ordinance be amended to remove single-family, duplexes, and townhome structures from commercial design standard regulation. Mr. Rothrock read the amended ordinance to the Board.

Chairman Harwood asked if there were any questions for staff. Mr. Carter confirmed that the General Assembly is saying that we can't regulate single-family home exteriors anywhere. Mr. Rothrock confirmed and added that our ordinance only applied to single-family in the Central Business and Town Center districts. Mr. Carter asked if we regulate single-family design in any residential district. Mr. Rothrock said we do not. Mr. Carter asked if we regulate height. Mr. Rothrock said yes and added that height is not a design standard.

Mr. Carter asked if a single-family structure being used as a business could be regulated. Mr. Rothrock said he believed so and added if the use changed from residential to business that the design standards would then apply. Mr. Carter asked if use is the determining factor. Mr. Rothrock said that the building and the use of the building are both determining factors.

Ms. Starnes commented that our ordinance must be consistent with the new law.

*Ms. Starnes made a motion to recommend approval of the draft ordinance to the Town Council, seconded by Mr. Laughter. **All members were in favor of the motion.***

#### **Other Business**

Mr. Rothrock told the Board there would likely be two conditional use permits on the September agenda. Mr. Carter wanted confirmation that conditional use permits are quasi-judicial and can't be discussed. Mr. Rothrock confirmed. Mr. Carter asked Mr. Rothrock to please include this information in the emailed packets.

*Ms. Stripling made a motion to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Ms. Starnes. **All members were in favor of the motion.***

Chairman Harwood adjourned the meeting at 5:50 pm.

---

Chairman David Harwood

---

Tammy Bentley, Administrative Assistant

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Chairman David Harwood and Members of the Planning Board

FROM: Kevin Rothrock, Planning Director

SUBJECT: Removing single-family and duplexes from design standard regulation

DATE: August 12, 2015

In 1997, commercial design standards were drafted and included in the Town of Blowing Rock's Land Use Ordinance. These standards applied to duplexes, condominiums, apartments, and commercial and institutional buildings. Essentially all non-single-family structures were regulated with respect to commercial design and appearance. In 2005, the Land Use Ordinance was amended to require that single-family homes in the Central Business zoning district meet the design standards and materials for commercial buildings. This amendment required that single-family structures in the Central Business zoning district, and subsequently the Town Center, would be subject to color and materials criteria and reviewed through a zoning permit process.

This summer, the NC General Assembly adopted Senate Bill 25 which placed limits on how local governments could regulate building design elements including color and materials for single-family, townhouse and duplex structures. A copy of Senate Bill 25 (Session Law 2015-86) is enclosed for review along with a summary from David Owens of the School of Government.

To be consistent with the recently approved Session Law 2015-86 and the NC General Statute, staff recommends that Article 21 of the Land Use Ordinance be amended to remove single-family, duplex, and townhome structures from commercial design standard regulation.

The attached **highlighted** draft ordinance, the proposed text is underlined, while the deleted text has been ~~crossed-out~~.

## Article 21 - Commercial Design and Appearance Standards

**Section 16-21.2 Applicability.** The design criteria outlined in this Article shall apply to all new non-single-family development or redevelopment in Blowing Rock for which a zoning permit or a conditional use permit is required pursuant to Chapter 21 of the Town Code. Non-single-family development or redevelopment includes duplexes, townhouses, condominiums, multi-family apartments, commercial buildings, industrial buildings, churches, schools, hospital buildings, all other buildings not specifically designed and used for single-family purposes, and accessory buildings associated with all these types of non-single-family developments. ~~Some sections of this article shall also apply to new construction, redevelopment, repair, expansion, alteration, and relocation of any single family structure in the Town Center and Central Business District. Such construction or alteration shall require a zoning or exterior change permit.~~ For the purposes of this Article, the expansion, alteration, or reconstruction of an existing development shall not be considered "redevelopment" unless the value of the expansion, alteration, or reconstruction is greater than 50% of the value of the existing development prior to the expansion, alteration, or reconstruction. The value of the existing development shall be based on "tax value". In the absence of tax value, the Administrator shall estimate the value based upon the best information reasonably available. The value of the expansion, alteration, or reconstruction shall be based on "construction cost".

~~16-21.2.1~~ The following general criteria shall apply to single family residential construction, additions, and exterior modifications within the Town Center and Central Business Districts:

- ~~a) Only Sections 16-21.3 (Design), 16-21.4 (Exterior Walls), 16-21.5 (Roof Lines), 16-21.6 (Color), and 16-21.7 (General Landscaping) shall apply to single family projects.~~
- ~~b) The applicant shall submit a site plan of the proposed house or proposed addition.~~
- ~~c) The applicant shall submit architectural drawings showing building elevations and colors.~~

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA  
SESSION 2015

SESSION LAW 2015-86  
SENATE BILL 25

AN ACT TO CLARIFY WHEN A COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY MAY ENACT ZONING ORDINANCES RELATED TO DESIGN AND AESTHETIC CONTROLS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

**SECTION 1.** G.S. 160A-381 is amended by adding new subsections to read:

"(h) Any zoning and development regulation ordinance relating to building design elements adopted under this Part, under Part 2 of this Article, or under any recommendation made under G.S. 160A-452(6)c. may not be applied to any structures subject to regulation under the North Carolina Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings except under one or more of the following circumstances:

- (1) The structures are located in an area designated as a local historic district pursuant to Part 3C of Article 19 of Chapter 160A of the General Statutes.
- (2) The structures are located in an area designated as a historic district on the National Register of Historic Places.
- (3) The structures are individually designated as local, State, or national historic landmarks.
- (4) The regulations are directly and substantially related to the requirements of applicable safety codes adopted under G.S. 143-138.
- (5) Where the regulations are applied to manufactured housing in a manner consistent with G.S. 160A-383.1 and federal law.
- (6) Where the regulations are adopted as a condition of participation in the National Flood Insurance Program.

Regulations prohibited by this subsection may not be applied, directly or indirectly, in any zoning district, special use district, conditional use district, or conditional district unless voluntarily consented to by the owners of all the property to which those regulations may be applied as part of and in the course of the process of seeking and obtaining a zoning amendment or a zoning, subdivision, or development approval, nor may any such regulations be applied indirectly as part of a review pursuant to G.S. 160A-383 of any proposed zoning amendment for consistency with an adopted comprehensive plan or other applicable officially adopted plan. For the purposes of this subsection, the phrase "building design elements" means exterior building color; type or style of exterior cladding material; style or materials of roof structures or porches; exterior nonstructural architectural ornamentation; location or architectural styling of windows and doors, including garage doors; the number and types of rooms; and the interior layout of rooms. The phrase "building design elements" does not include any of the following: (i) the height, bulk, orientation, or location of a structure on a zoning lot; (ii) the use of buffering or screening to minimize visual impacts, to mitigate the impacts of light and noise, or to protect the privacy of neighbors; or (iii) regulations adopted pursuant to this Article governing the permitted uses of land or structures subject to the North Carolina Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings.

(i) Nothing in subsection (h) of this section shall affect the validity or enforceability of private covenants or other contractual agreements among property owners relating to building design elements."

**SECTION 2.** G.S. 153A-340 is amended by adding new subsections to read:

"(l) Any zoning and development regulation ordinance relating to building design elements adopted under this Part, under Part 2 of this Article, or under any recommendation made under G.S. 160A-452(6)c. may not be applied to any structures subject to regulation



under the North Carolina Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings except under one or more of the following circumstances:

- (1) The structures are located in an area designated as a local historic district pursuant to Part 3C of Article 19 of Chapter 160A of the General Statutes.
- (2) The structures are located in an area designated as a historic district on the National Register of Historic Places.
- (3) The structures are individually designated as local, State, or national historic landmarks.
- (4) The regulations are directly and substantially related to the requirements of applicable safety codes adopted under G.S. 143-138.
- (5) Where the regulations are applied to manufactured housing in a manner consistent with G.S. 153A-341.1 and federal law.
- (6) Where the regulations are adopted as a condition of participation in the National Flood Insurance Program.

Regulations prohibited by this subsection may not be applied, directly or indirectly, in any zoning district, special use district, conditional use district, or conditional district unless voluntarily consented to by the owners of all the property to which those regulations may be applied as part of and in the course of the process of seeking and obtaining a zoning amendment or a zoning, subdivision, or development approval, nor may any such regulations be applied indirectly as part of a review pursuant to G.S. 153A-341 of any proposed zoning amendment for consistency with an adopted comprehensive plan or other applicable officially adopted plan. For the purposes of this subsection, the phrase "building design elements" means exterior building color; type or style of exterior cladding material; style or materials of roof structures or porches; exterior nonstructural architectural ornamentation; location or architectural styling of windows and doors, including garage doors; the number and types of rooms; and the interior layout of rooms. The phrase "building design elements" does not include any of the following: (i) the height, bulk, orientation, or location of a structure on a zoning lot; (ii) the use of buffering or screening to minimize visual impacts, to mitigate the impacts of light and noise, or to protect the privacy of neighbors; or (iii) regulations adopted pursuant to this Article governing the permitted uses of land or structures subject to the North Carolina Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings.

(m) Nothing in subsection (l) of this section shall affect the validity or enforceability of private covenants or other contractual agreements among property owners relating to building design elements."

**SECTION 3.** This act is effective when it becomes law. The act clarifies and restates the intent of existing law and applies to ordinances adopted before, on, and after the effective date.

In the General Assembly read three times and ratified this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2015.

s/ Daniel J. Forest  
President of the Senate

s/ Tim Moore  
Speaker of the House of Representatives

s/ Pat McCrory  
Governor

Approved 10:00 a.m. this 19<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2015

---

## Coates' Canons Blog: Can the City Tell Me What My New House Has to Look Like?

By David Owens

Article: <http://canons.sog.unc.edu/?p=8180>

This entry was posted on August 03, 2015 and is filed under Constitutional & Statutory Limitations, General Local Government (Miscellaneous), Land Use & Code Enforcement, Legislation, Zoning

---

A fast-growing suburban town would like to encourage walkable mixed use neighborhoods. The planning board has proposed adding a new zoning district that would allow higher residential densities provided certain standards are met. The proposed standards include requirements for sidewalks, front porches, and that garage entrances be accessed only from the rear of the property.

A second town is concerned that most of the new subdivisions built in town in the past few years have included only entry-level housing. The newly adopted comprehensive plan encourages all new large residential developments to provide a full range of housing affordability in order to provide adequate housing opportunities for everyone. A council member now urges action to secure a greater variety of housing, with a particular aim of encouraging more mid- and high-end housing. She has proposed amending the town's low density residential zoning district to require that all new houses in that district have masonry siding. She suggests an alternative may be to prohibit use of vinyl siding in this zoning district.

A small resort town wants to preserve its distinctive architectural character. To that end, a local civic group has asked the town council to consider requiring that new houses constructed in existing neighborhoods generally match the scale, colors, and architectural style of existing neighboring homes. The group has also asked that residential structures offered for weekly rental be limited to no more than six bedrooms. The group contends that very large residences offered for short-term rental have land use impacts more akin to a small motel than a residence, so this regulation is needed in order to minimize problems with noise, traffic, and parking and to preserve the existing residential character of their neighborhoods.

Do North Carolina cities and counties have the authority to adopt regulations like these proposals?

Newly enacted legislation specifies that North Carolina and local governments do not have the authority to adopt most of these proposed regulations.

Zoning regulations have always addressed aspects of the design of new development. The nation's first comprehensive zoning ordinance, adopted by New York City in 1916, regulated which land uses could be located in particular zones, set the maximum height for buildings in different areas, and required differing setbacks from the street and property lines depending on the district designated.

Over the decades a variety of design standards have been incorporated into many zoning ordinance. Most zoning ordinances followed New York's example and include height limits and required structures to be set back from property lines a specified distance with the specific limits varying for each different zoning district. Commercial developments are often required to have an integrated, coherent design. New construction in historic districts is required to be congruent with character of the district. Development that requires a special or conditional use permit is commonly required to be harmonious with the neighborhood or compatible with that surrounding development. A number of local governments now have "neighborhood conservation districts" to prevent in-fill development that is radically different from the existing neighborhood. Some communities have anti-monotony requirements for new subdivisions so that all of the houses do not look exactly alike. And several local governments are experimenting with zoning regulations that focus on the form of new structures – their design, scale, and placement on the lot – rather than the land uses occurring within the structures.

The development community in North Carolina has been concerned that some local governments have gone too far with imposing design standards for residential development. A regulatory requirement for particular types of siding was the most frequently cited concern, but limits on where garages can be placed (such as banning placing garages as the most



---

prominent feature at the front of a house, so-called "snout houses") and other regulations were also cited as governmental overreach. Bills to restrict local authority to adopt residential design standards previously passed both houses of the General Assembly. Limits on residential design standards were approved by the Senate in 2011 (S. 731) and were approved by the House in 2013 (H. 150) and in 2014 (H. 734). See this [post](#) from Rich Ducker discussing the 2013 bill and local government authority regarding community appearance standards generally.

This year both houses of the General Assembly adopted a version of the limits similar to those proposed in 2013. S.L. 2015-86 (S. 25) became effective law in North Carolina as of June 19, 2015 and applies to all zoning ordinances adopted before, on, or after that date. The law adds new subsections to G.S. 160A-381 for cities and 153A-340 for counties.

*Applicability.* The restrictions apply to any regulation of buildings subject to the N.C. Residential Code for One- and Two-Family Dwellings. All single family homes and duplexes are covered. Townhouses are also covered if they are built to the single-family code. The restrictions do not apply to multi-family housing or non-residential buildings. The restrictions do not apply to private restrictive covenants, only to design standards imposed by government regulation.

*Limits.* The law prohibits regulation of "building design elements." These cannot be regulated directly. Nor can they be regulated indirectly through a plan consistency review (such as putting the design standards in the adopted plan rather than in the zoning ordinance and then having the zoning ordinance require that new development be consistent with the plan).

The law provides a list of what cannot be regulated. The prohibition covers:

- 1) Exterior building color;
- 2) Type or style of exterior cladding material;
- 3) Style or materials of roofs or porches
- 4) Exterior nonstructural architectural ornamentation;
- 5) Location or architectural styling of windows and doors, including garage doors;
- 6) Location of rooms; and
- 7) Interior layout of rooms.

There are several items that are explicitly listed as not being "building design elements" and that can be regulated. These are:

- 1) Height, bulk, orientation on the lot, location of structure on a lot;
- 2) Use of buffering or screening to minimize visual impacts, to mitigate impacts of light or noise, or to protect the privacy of neighbors; and
- 3) Regulations governing permitted uses of land or structures.

*Exceptions.* The law creates a number of exceptions to this prohibition of design regulations.

Perhaps most importantly for new developments, design standards may be applied if the owners of all of the property involved voluntarily consent to them. In this instance the standards can only be imposed if done as "part of and in the course of" seeking a zoning amendment or a zoning, subdivision, or other development regulation approval. This tracks existing law that requires landowner consent for property to be placed in a conditional or conditional use district and requires mutual consent for conditions imposed. G.S. [160A-383\(b\)](#), [153A-342\(b\)](#). Design standards developed and agreed to by the owners of all affected property can still be incorporated into conditional zoning, special use permits, or development agreements.

There is some debate as to how this provision should be interpreted, with some in the development community contending only design standards proposed by the developer are "voluntary." Others contend it matters not who proposes the standards (the owner, the local government, or the neighbors) as long as it is clear the owners consent to their imposition. How the proposal originates is unlikely to matter legally, but care must be exercised to clearly demonstrate that the owner has indeed voluntarily consented to the imposition of the design standards. The courts will not accept just calling a regulatory requirement "voluntary" when compliance has in fact been uniformly mandated. [Lanvale Properties, LLC v. County of Cabarrus](#), 366 N.C. 142, 731 S.E.2d 800 (2012).

There are other specific exceptions to the prohibition listed in the law, primarily preserving historic district regulations, where building design elements are a central feature of the regulatory scheme. Building design elements can still be regulated in these circumstances:



- 1) Within designated local historic districts;
- 2) Within historic districts on the National Register;
- 3) For designated local, state, or national landmarks;
- 4) Those directly and substantially related to safety codes;
- 5) For manufactured housing; and
- 6) Those adopted as a condition of participation in the flood insurance program.

*Implications.* Zoning ordinances can still set height and size limits for structures and specify where on a lot structures may be located. They can specify setbacks and maximum lot coverages. Zoning statutes expressly authorize cities and counties to regulate “the height, number of stories and size of buildings and other structures, the percentage of lots that may be occupied, the size of yards, courts, and other open spaces, the density of population, the location and use of buildings, structures and land.” G.S. [160A-381](#), [153A-340](#). The new law states that it “clarifies and restates the intent of existing law,” so these aspects of zoning regulation of residential structures are clearly still valid.

Very importantly, the uses to which structures are put can still be regulated. There has been concern that an inability to regulate location and layout of rooms could lead to unscrupulous builders labeling a structure as “single-family” when in actuality they are building a multi-unit or group housing structure. The construction must still meet all building code requirements and if the structure is indeed put to a use that is not allowed, zoning enforcement is appropriate at that time.

Architectural details can be regulated in historic districts (and these districts can be established based on their “special significance in terms of their history, prehistory, architecture, and/or culture”). Regulations for commercial and multifamily structures are not affected by the new law. Landowners can voluntarily agree to imposition of design regulations. Private restrictive covenants can still dictate architectural review for single family homes.

So of the proposals listed at the outset, which are prohibited and which are authorized under North Carolina law? The first town cannot dictate that new houses have porches or where the garage door is located but can still require sidewalks in residential subdivisions. The second town certainly cannot mandate a particular type of siding for new houses. The resort town cannot require use of its distinctive architectural style outside of designated historic districts. However, while the resort town probably cannot set a maximum number of bedrooms for new residences, it can regulate the scale and size of new houses and prohibit commercial uses in residential areas (and the question of whether short-term rentals are a residential or commercial use is a topic for another day).

But in most other situations, local governments in North Carolina no longer have the authority, if they ever did, to tell homeowners what color their house can be painted, what materials can be used for their windows and siding; or what architectural style must be used for a new house.

## Links

- [www.ncleg.net/gascripts/statutes/statutelookup.pl?statute=160a-383](http://www.ncleg.net/gascripts/statutes/statutelookup.pl?statute=160a-383)
- [www.ncleg.net/gascripts/statutes/statutelookup.pl?statute=153A-342](http://www.ncleg.net/gascripts/statutes/statutelookup.pl?statute=153A-342)
- [appellate.nccourts.org/opinions/?c=1&pdf=29022](http://appellate.nccourts.org/opinions/?c=1&pdf=29022)
- [www.ncleg.net/gascripts/statutes/statutelookup.pl?statute=160a-381](http://www.ncleg.net/gascripts/statutes/statutelookup.pl?statute=160a-381)
- [www.ncleg.net/gascripts/statutes/statutelookup.pl?statute=153A-340](http://www.ncleg.net/gascripts/statutes/statutelookup.pl?statute=153A-340)